

British Columbia Government Victoria, B.C.

Att: Premier's Office cc: Health Minister, Education Minister, School District 61, 22, etc.

Fax: 250-387-0087

Re: Important Information on Wi Fi Dangers in Schools

Dear Sir/Madam:

There was a significant error or omission in safety standards reported to Health Canada and by expert witness for Canadian Parliament's Standing Committee on Health in late October 2010. The committee was gathering information on the potential health impacts of radiofrequency emfs interacting with human biology.

In December 2010 the Report of The Standing Committee on Health's recommendations included Health Canada thoroughly investigating the significant oversight reported. Health Canada's Safety Code 6 says the unintentional stimulation of tissue is to be avoided as it could lead to nerve and muscle depolarization or a heat effect. The error or omission in safety standards shows that humans would be electromagnetically induced by emfs and stimulate tissue.

February 2011, Health Canada is reporting to provinces that there isn't any peer reviewed science reporting emfs affect health. Health Canada isn't reporting there was a significant oversight reported(error or omission) in safety standards. That oversight is the peer reviewed science showing electromagnetic induction from the emfs is happening. That creates electrical problems with vulnerable, dynamic biology with its own electrical frequencies and causes tissue to polarize at twice the frequency.

By not reporting the error or omission, Health Canada disadvantages the province including corporations or administrations working with different aspects of wireless technology. B.C. School Districts for one are looking for the laws to administer their districts. The reported error or omission in Health Canada's Safety Code 6 qualifies that Wi Fi isn't allowed in schools and administrators, teachers as well as parents aren't being informed of that.

Radiation Protection Services of The BC Centre of Disease Control's reporting on Wi Fi Safety is seriously flawed as a result of the error or omission in safety standards. You can't exclude the frequency conflict between Wi Fi and people.

The dangers of emfs including the error in safety standards were lectured in medical education for education credits health professionals need for licensing in January, 2011. That makes the information medical education accepted for lecture.

As a consulting group at operations and administrative levels, we are hearing from school districts, teachers, parents, teacher & construction unions, media, law groups, industry, environmental groups, insurers, medical education, medical professionals, MLAs, etc asking for information on the reported error or omission. Are there legal liabilities and who picks up health, legal or other costs?

With the language of Health Canada's Safety Code 6 being nerve and muscle depolarization or a heat effect being the result of emf interaction with humans, immediate action is needed from the BC Government in reporting Wi Fi danger. BC should not proceed on any Wi Fi installations until Health Canada and Industry Canada investigate the significant error or omission in safety standards. Upon investigation they will find that emfs are interacting with vulnerable, precise electrical systems called humans and to be avoided as per safety code. In any wireless discussion, administrators need to remember **everything** is made of atoms and molecules. Very fast frequencies or emfs have a profound effect on molecules or atoms.

Technological advances for schools need to be installed by BC construction and electrical professionals as it has been from the beginning. There are reasons we don't impose emfs on other electrical systems. Hard wiring technology protects people and the integrity of the data while delivering the data faster. It also provides real sustainable economy while advancing technology in education.

Can you please respond with the actions and direction you are taking as soon as possible, there is no acceptable amount of time to radiate our children, teachers or administrators. It isn't definable as sustainable.

Sincerely,

Curtis Bennett Thermografix Consulting Corporation <u>www.thermoguy.com</u> <u>curtis@thermoguy.com</u> 250-765-9897 Safety Code 6 Link Recommendations of Standing Committee on Health Link