

November 26, 2013

Louise Levert
Secretariat
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
280 Slater St. P.O. Box 1046
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 5S9

Re: Commission Decision to Dismiss Qualified Science Specific to the Agenda

GE Canada, Other Operations – GE Nuclear, 1025 Lansdowne Ave, Toronto, ON. M6H 3Z6

Dear Ms Levert,

The letter of November 25, 2013 wasn't provided to the commission with an agenda except to inform them of a real danger that is very specific to the GE-Hitachi plant. The letter included specific federal law, transcripts from the BCUC and confirmation of 24/7 exposure by Health Canada. My professional opinion isn't singular, we are warning of liability as is done for all industry as well as insurers.

For the commission to administratively dismiss the letter will recklessly endanger the public by ignoring the applicable science professionals credentialed by the government you work for. Policy is based on science, not the other way around. When fire departments, police or engineers tell the commission to leave a building, are they ignored because it isn't part of their agenda?

While the commission didn't accept the letter, lawyers, insurers, engineers, medical education, media, citizens and others across the board have. The commission has no alternative but to disqualify the technical aspects of everything in the letter. Can you please provide the reporting from registered engineers supporting their decision? I would suggest retaining Andrew Michrowski and associates because of their expertise related to accelerated corrosion.

Could the commission please pass on the reporting letter or consultation from medical professionals dismissing adverse health effects of the employees or visitors to the Lansdowne facility?

The commission's decision ignoring Government professionals will be reported for the urgency it is and through applicable channels. I would further advise the commission members that politically and administratively dismissing this for the inconvenience will not negate liability for recklessly endangering the public. None of us are exempt and it was reported in our capacity or I would be guilty of reckless endangerment as well.

Sincerely,

Curtis Bennett
Chief Science Officer
Interprovincial Journeyman Electrician(Red Seal)
Engineering Technologist
Adjunct Faculty for IHF & GEDI
33 Year Advanced Thermography Background
www.thermoguy.com
curtis@thermoguy.com
Ph: 604-239-2694